

The Euler-Lagrange Cohomology Groups on Symplectic Manifolds

Han-Ying Guo*

CCAST-WL, P. O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China.

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
P. O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China.

Jianzhong Pan†

Institute of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing 100080, China.

Ke Wu‡

Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University,
Beijing 100037, China.

and

Bin Zhou§

Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
P. O. Box 918-4, Beijing 100039, China.

February 2, 2008

Abstract

The definition and properties of the Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups H_{EL}^{2k-1} , $1 \leq k \leq n$, on a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ are given and studied. For $k = 1$ and $k = n$, they are isomorphic to the corresponding de Rham cohomology groups $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M}^{2n})$ and $H_{\text{dR}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}^{2n})$, respectively. The other Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups are different from either the de Rham cohomology groups or the harmonic cohomology groups on $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$, in general. The general volume-preserving equations on $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ are also presented from cohomological point of view. In the special cases, these equations become the ordinary canonical equations in the Hamilton mechanics. Therefore, the Hamilton mechanics has been generalized via the cohomology.

*Email: hyguo@itp.ac.cn

†Email: pjz@mail.amss.ac.cn

‡Email: wuke@itp.ac.cn

§Email: zhoub@ihep.ac.cn

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The First Euler-Lagrange Cohomology Group on Symplectic Manifolds	4
3	The Euler-Lagrange Cohomology Groups on Symplectic Manifolds	7
3.1	The $2k - 1$ st Euler-Lagrange Cohomology Groups	7
3.2	Some Operators	8
3.3	The Spaces $\mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ and $H_{EL}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$	9
3.4	The Other Euler-Lagrange Cohomology Groups	10
3.5	The Euler-Lagrange Cohomology and The Harmonic Cohomology	12
4	The Relative Euler-Lagrange Cohomology	13
5	The General Volume-Preserving Hamiltonian-like Equations	14
5.1	The Derivation of the Equations	14
5.2	On The Canonical Hamiltonian Equations, The Trace of 2-Forms and The Poisson Bracket	16
5.3	One Possible Application	17
6	Discussions and Conclusions	18

1 Introduction

It is well known that the theory on symplectic manifolds plays an important role in both classical mechanics (see, for example, [1], [2]) and field theory. On the other hand, both Lagrange and Hamilton mechanics had been also well established.

Very recently, however, the Euler-Lagrange cohomology has first been introduced and discussed in [3, 4] for classical mechanics and field theory in order to explore the relevant topics in the (independent variable(s)) discrete mechanics and field theory including symplectic and multisymplectic algorithms.

Based upon these works, we have further found that there is in fact a sequence of the particular cohomology groups called the Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups on the symplectic manifolds. What has been found in [3, 4] in the case of the classical mechanics is the (first) Euler-Lagrange cohomology group of the Euler-Lagrange 1-forms. We have also found that these cohomology groups may play some important role in the classical mechanics as well as other dynamical systems such as the volume-preserving systems and so on (some aspects on these issues have been given in [14, 5, 15]).

In this paper, we introduce the general definition of these Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, on a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ with the symplectic structure ω and study their properties in some details. We show that for $k = 1$ and $k = n$, they are isomorphic to the corresponding de Rham cohomology groups $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M}^{2n})$ and $H_{\text{dR}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}^{2n})$, respectively. Consequently, due to the Poincaré duality, the first Euler-Lagrange cohomology group $H_{\text{EL}}^1(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ and the highest one $H_{\text{EL}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ are dual to each other. We also show that the other Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$, $1 < k < n$, are different from either the de Rham cohomology groups or the harmonic cohomology groups on $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$, in general.

From the cohomological point of view, the ordinary Hamiltonian canonical equations correspond to 1-forms that represent trivial element in the first Euler-Lagrange group on $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ as the phase space. Analog to this fact, it is natural but significant to find the general volume-preserving equations on $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ from such forms that represent trivial element in the highest Euler-Lagrange cohomology group $H_{\text{EL}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$. In this paper, we introduce this general kind of volume-preserving equations from this point of view. In the special cases, these equations become the ordinary canonical equations in the Hamilton mechanics. Therefore, the Hamilton mechanics has been generalized to the volume-preserving systems on symplectic manifolds via the cohomology.

This paper is arranged as follows. In section 2, we first briefly recall the definition of the first Euler-Lagrange cohomology group on a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ and prove that it is isomorphic to the first de Rahm cohomology group on the manifold. Then we introduce the general definition of the $2k - 1$ st Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups for $1 \leq k \leq n$ on $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ in section 3. We first indicate that the highest one is equivalent to the $2n - 1$ st de Rahm cohomology group. We also indicate that in general they are not isomorphic to each other and that they are not isomorphic to either the de Rahm cohomology or the harmonic cohomology on $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$. In section 4, the relative Euler-Lagrange cohomology is introduced in analog with the relative de Rahm cohomology. The general volume-preserving equation is introduced in section 5. Its relations with ordinary canonical equations in the Hamilton mechanics as well as other volume-preserving systems are discussed. It is clear that the general volume-preserving equations are the generalization of the ordinary canonical equations in Hamilton Mechanics. Finally, we end with some discussion and remarks in section 6.

2 The First Euler-Lagrange Cohomology Group on Symplectic Manifolds

In order to set up notations, we briefly introduce the first Euler-Lagrange cohomology group for what are called the Euler-Lagrange 1-forms on $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ in this subsection. We also prove that it is isomorphic to the first de Rham cohomology group on it.

For a Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonian $H = H(q, p)$ on a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$, the trajectory, $q = q(t)$ and $p = p(t)$, is determined by the Hamilton principle. Namely, it is a stationary point of the action functional

$$S[q(t), p(t)] := \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \{ p_i(t) \dot{q}^i(t) - H(q(t), p(t)) \} dt$$

with $\delta q^i(t_0) = \delta q^i(t_1) = 0$ provided that $q = q(t)$ and $p = p(t)$ satisfy the canonical equations

$$\dot{q}^i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \quad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}. \quad (1)$$

For arbitrary curves on $\mathcal{M}$, the *Euler-Lagrange 1-form*

$$E := \left(\dot{q}^i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \right) dp_i - \left(\dot{p}_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \right) dq^i = \dot{q}^i dp_i - \dot{p}_i dq^i - dH \quad (2)$$

can be defined along a curve and $E = 0$ gives rise to the canonical equations (1).

In fact, it can be defined on the whole manifold $\mathcal{M}$ by introducing a congruence of maximal integral curves of a smooth vector field on $\mathcal{M}$

$$\mathbf{X} = \dot{q}^i(q, p) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \dot{p}_i(q, p) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}, \quad (3)$$

where $\dot{q}^i = \dot{q}^i(q, p)$ and $\dot{p}_i = \dot{p}_i(q, p)$ are functions on $\mathcal{M}$.

Given such a vector field, the Euler-Lagrange 1-form can be constructed as follows: The exterior differential of the function $p_i \dot{q}^i - H$,

$$d(p_i \dot{q}^i - H) = \dot{q}^i dp_i - \dot{p}_i dq^i - dH + \frac{d}{dt}(p_i dq^i),$$

leads to

$$d(p_i \dot{q}^i - H) = E + \frac{d\theta}{dt} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\theta := p_i dq^i. \quad (5)$$

In the above calculation, it is used that d commutes with $\frac{d}{dt}$. This is because $\frac{d}{dt}$ along any one of these integral curves is nothing but the restriction of the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$. While $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$ commutes with d , so does $\frac{d}{dt}$.

It is clear that the Euler-Lagrange 1-form (2) depends on the Hamiltonian function H and a smooth vector field $\mathbf{X}$ as in eq. (3). Furthermore, it can be shown that the Euler-Lagrange 1-form E is globally defined.

Due to the nilpotency of d , the second operation of d on (4) leads to the globally valid formula

$$dE = -\frac{d\omega}{dt} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\omega := d\theta = dp_i \wedge dq^i \quad (7)$$

is the symplectic form on $\mathcal{M}$. Thus, we have

Theorem 1. *The symplectic form ω is conserved if and only if the Euler-Lagrange 1-form E is closed.*

Let $\Omega_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M})$ denote the linear space (an Abelian group) generated by those Euler-Lagrange 1-forms. That is, a 1-form, say, α is in $\Omega_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if there exist finitely many Euler-Lagrange 1-forms $E_1, \dots, E_k$ so that $\alpha = E_1 + \dots + E_k$. It is easy to verify that $\Omega_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a real linear space.

Denote $Z_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M}) := \{\text{closed 1-forms in } \Omega_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M})\}$ and $B_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M}) := \{\text{exact 1-forms in } \Omega_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M})\}$. The quotient linear space (also a quotient Abelian group)

$$H_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) := Z_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M}) / B_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M})$$

is called *the first Euler-Lagrange cohomology group*.

On the other hand, for an arbitrary vector field $\mathbf{X}$, the 1-form

$$E_{\mathbf{X}} := -i_{\mathbf{X}}\omega \quad (8)$$

is zero at a given point $x \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $\mathbf{X}|_x = 0$. As a corollary, given a 1-form α on $\mathcal{M}$, there exists one and only one vector field $\mathbf{X}$ such that $E_{\mathbf{X}} = -i_{\mathbf{X}}\omega = \alpha$. Therefore, eq. (8) defines a linear isomorphism from the tangent space $T_x\mathcal{M}$ to the cotangent space $T_x^*\mathcal{M}$ at every point x , and hence a linear isomorphism from the space of vector fields $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ to the space of differential 1-forms $\Omega^1(\mathcal{M})$. When the vector field $\mathbf{X}$ is as shown in eq. (3),

$$E_{\mathbf{X}} = \dot{q}^i dp_i - \dot{p}_i dq^i.$$

Thus, the corresponding Euler-Lagrange 1-form E in eq. (2) becomes

$$E = E_{\mathbf{X}} - dH. \quad (9)$$

As is known, for a Hamiltonian function H on $\mathcal{M}$, there exists uniquely a vector field

$$\mathbf{X}_H := \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \quad (10)$$

satisfying

$$E_{\mathbf{X}_H} = -i_{\mathbf{X}_H}\omega = dH. \quad (11)$$

Therefore the Euler-Lagrange 1-form $E = E_{\mathbf{X}} - E_{\mathbf{X}_H} = E_{\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_H}$. Since $\mathbf{X}$ can be an arbitrary vector field on $\mathcal{M}$ and so does $\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_H$, it follows that $\Omega_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M})$ is equal to $\Omega^1(\mathcal{M})$, and that every 1-form on $\mathcal{M}$ is an Euler-Lagrange 1-form. Thus, an immediate corollary is $H_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is equivalent to the first de Rham cohomology group $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M})$.

For a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$, a vector field $\mathbf{X}$ is called a *symplectic vector field* provided that $dE_{\mathbf{X}} = 0$. A vector field $\mathbf{X}$ is called a *Hamiltonian vector field* provided that $E_{\mathbf{X}} = dH$ with some function H on $\mathcal{M}$. The Lie derivative of ω with respect to a vector field $\mathbf{X}$ reads $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}\omega = di_{\mathbf{X}}\omega = -dE_{\mathbf{X}}$. This implies that a vector field $\mathbf{X}$ is symplectic if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}\omega = 0$. A Hamiltonian vector field is, of course, a symplectic vector field, but a symplectic vector field is not necessarily a Hamiltonian vector field rather a local Hamiltonian vector field. These are the well-known facts in symplectic geometry [6].

In addition, the commutation bracket of two symplectic vectors $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ is a Hamiltonian vector field. In fact, it is easy to obtain [6] that

$$E_{[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]} = d(\omega(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})), \quad \text{equivalently,} \quad [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{X}_{\omega(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})}.$$

It implies that the linear space

$$\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega) := \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \mid \mathbf{X} \text{ is symplectic} \}$$

is a Lie algebra with an ideal

$$\mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega) := \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \mid \mathbf{X} \text{ is Hamiltonian} \}.$$

This is due to

$$[\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega), \mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega)] \subseteq [\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega), \mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)] \subseteq \mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega).$$

It is obvious that $\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)/\mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is an Abelian Lie algebra. As we have stated, the linear map from $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ to $\Omega^1(\mathcal{M})$, sending $\mathbf{X}$ to $E_{\mathbf{X}} = -i_{\mathbf{X}}\omega$, is a linear isomorphism. The images of $\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ and $\mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ under this isomorphism are the spaces of closed 1-forms $Z^1(\mathcal{M})$ and the exact 1-forms $B^1(\mathcal{M})$ on $\mathcal{M}$, respectively. Hence the linear isomorphism $E : \mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \longrightarrow Z^1(\mathcal{M})$, $\mathbf{X} \longmapsto E_{\mathbf{X}}$ induces a linear isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E} : \mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)/\mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega) &\longrightarrow Z^1(\mathcal{M})/B^1(\mathcal{M}) \\ [\mathbf{X}] &\longmapsto [E_{\mathbf{X}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Namely, an isomorphism from the quotient Lie algebra $\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)/\mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ to the first de Rham cohomology group $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M})$, where $[\mathbf{X}]$ is the equivalence class of the symplectic vector field $\mathbf{X}$ in $\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)/\mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ and $[E_{\mathbf{X}}]$ is the cohomology class of the closed 1-form $E_{\mathbf{X}}$. All the above are summerized in the following theorem:

Theorem 2. *Under the commutation bracket, $\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is a Lie algebra with an ideal $\mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. The quotient Lie algebra $\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)/\mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is Abelian, and linearly isomorphic to $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M})$, hence to the first Euler-Lagrange cohomology group $H_{\text{EL}}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$.*

Therefore, if the symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is non-trivial such that $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M}) \neq 0$, there exists a symplectic vector field that is not Hamiltonian. Such a symplectic vector field can be locally written as

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

with H defined merely on a proper open subset of $\mathcal{M}$. But there is not a globally defined Hamiltonian function for it. It is in this sense that it can be called a local Hamiltonian vector field [1].

Note that although both $\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ and $\mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ depend on the choice of the symplectic structure, the quotient Lie algebra is, however, independent of it. Therefore, we can always indicate, without specifying the particular symplectic structure, how many linearly independent local symplectic vector fields there are on $\mathcal{M}$. This is the significance of the above theorem.

On the other hand, the above theorem also appears as an exact sequence [6]

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

3 The Euler-Lagrange Cohomology Groups on Symplectic Manifolds

Now we are ready to present the definition and study the properties of a sequence of the Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups on a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$.

Let $\Lambda^k(T_x^*\mathcal{M})$ be the space of k -forms at a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $\Lambda^k(\mathcal{M})$ the corresponding fibre bundle, $\Lambda_x^*(\mathcal{M})$ the direct sum $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{2n} \Lambda^k(T_x^*\mathcal{M})$, $\Lambda^*(\mathcal{M})$ the exterior bundle of $\mathcal{M}$, $\Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$ the space of differential k -forms, and $\Omega^*(\mathcal{M})$ the exterior algebra of differential forms.

3.1 The $2k - 1$ st Euler-Lagrange Cohomology Groups

On $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$, for each integer $1 \leq k \leq n$ we can define two sets

$$\mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) := \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \mid \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k) = 0 \}, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{X}_H^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) := \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \mid -i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k) \text{ is exact} \}, \quad (13)$$

which are obviously linear spaces over $\mathbb{R}$. In the above, ω^k is the wedge product of k -fold ω . In certain cases, we use the convention that $\omega^0 = 1$. Obviously, for $k = 1$, (12) and (13) give rise to the symplectic vector fields and the Hamiltonian ones, respectively:

$$\mathcal{X}_S^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega) = \mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}_H^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega) = \mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega). \quad (14)$$

It can be found that $\mathcal{X}_S^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is the space of volume-preserving vector fields. Since, for arbitrary vector field $\mathbf{X}$, there is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k) = \text{di}_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k), \quad (15)$$

a vector field $\mathbf{X}$ belongs to $\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ if and only if $-i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k)$ is closed. Therefore, we obtain immediately

$$\mathcal{X}_H^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega), \quad \forall k. \quad (16)$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^{k+1}) = \frac{k+1}{k} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k) \wedge \omega$ and $i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^{k+1}) = \frac{k+1}{k} i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k) \wedge \omega$, it is also obvious that

$$\mathcal{X}_S^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_S^{2k+1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{X}_S^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega), \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{X}_H^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{X}_H^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_H^{2k+1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{X}_H^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega). \quad (18)$$

Similarly to the derivation of $[\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega), \mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)] \subseteq \mathcal{X}_H(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$, We can verify that for arbitrary $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ there is always $[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] \in \mathcal{X}_H^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. Namely,

$$[\mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega), \mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)] \subseteq \mathcal{X}_H^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega), \quad \forall k. \quad (19)$$

This indicates that $\mathcal{X}_H^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. Hence the $2k - 1$ st Euler-Lagrange cohomology group (of degree $2k - 1$) can be defined as a quotient Lie algebra

$$H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) := \mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) / \mathcal{X}_H^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega), \quad (20)$$

which is Abelian for each k .

On the other hand, for each k ($1 \leq k \leq n$), the Euler-Lagrange $2k - 1$ forms $E_{\mathbf{X}}^{(2k-1)}$ as well as the kernel and image spaces of them with respect to d may be introduced:

$$E_{\mathbf{X}}^{(2k-1)}(\mathcal{M}) := -i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k), \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, \omega); \quad (21)$$

$$Z_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}) := \{ E_{\mathbf{X}}^{(2k-1)} \mid dE_{\mathbf{X}}^{(2k-1)} = 0 \}; \quad (22)$$

$$B_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}) := \{ E_{\mathbf{X}}^{(2k-1)} \mid E_{\mathbf{X}}^{(2k-1)} \text{ is exact} \}. \quad (23)$$

The $2k - 1$ st Euler-Lagrange cohomology group may also be equivalently defined as

$$H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) := Z_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}) / B_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}). \quad (24)$$

3.2 Some Operators

In order to investigate the properties of the Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups, it is needed to introduce some operators.

For a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the symplectic form ω can be locally expressed as the well-known formula $\omega = dp_i \wedge dq^i$ in the Darboux coordinates (q, p) . Then let us introduce a well defined linear map on $\Lambda_x^*(\mathcal{M})$:

$$\hat{f} := i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}. \quad (25)$$

Note that $\hat{f} = 0$ when acting on $\Lambda^1(T_x^* \mathcal{M})$ or $\Lambda^0(T_x^* \mathcal{M})$. And a map $\hat{f}$ can be defined on the exterior bundle $\Lambda^*(\mathcal{M})$ point by point. Further, a linear homomorphism, denoted also by $\hat{f}$, can be obtained on $\Omega^*(\mathcal{M})$. Especially, we have the identity

$$\hat{f}\omega = n. \quad (26)$$

Another two operators

$$\hat{e} : \Lambda_x^*(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \Lambda_x^*(\mathcal{M}), \quad \alpha \longmapsto \hat{e}\alpha = \alpha \wedge \omega \quad (27)$$

and

$$\hat{h} : \Lambda^k(T_x^* \mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \Lambda^k(T_x^* \mathcal{M}), \quad \alpha \longmapsto \hat{h}\alpha = (k - n) \alpha \quad (28)$$

can also be defined at each $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 1. *The operators $\hat{e}$, $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{h}$ on $\Lambda_x^*(\mathcal{M})$ satisfy*

$$[\hat{h}, \hat{e}] = 2\hat{e}, \quad [\hat{h}, \hat{f}] = -2\hat{f}, \quad [\hat{e}, \hat{f}] = \hat{h}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (29)$$

Proof. These relations can be verified directly. Here is a trickier proof.

First we define some “fermionic” operators on $\Lambda_x^*(\mathcal{M})$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i &:= i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}, & \psi^i &:= i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}, \\ \chi_i &: \alpha \longmapsto dp_i \wedge \alpha, & \chi^i &: \alpha \longmapsto dq^i \wedge \alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

For these operators, it is easy to verify that the non-vanishing anti-commutators are

$$\{\psi_i, \chi^j\} = \delta_i^j, \quad \{\psi^i, \chi_j\} = \delta_j^i. \quad (31)$$

Given an integer $0 \leq k \leq 2n$, we can check that, for any $\alpha \in \Lambda^k(T_x^* \mathcal{M})$,

$$(\chi_i \psi^i + \psi_i \chi^i) \alpha = k \alpha. \quad (32)$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{h} = \chi_i \psi^i + \psi_i \chi^i - n. \quad (33)$$

According to the definitions,

$$\hat{e} = \chi_i \chi^i, \quad \hat{f} = \psi_i \psi^i. \quad (34)$$

Then the relations in eqs. (29) can be obtained when $\hat{e}$, $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{h}$ are viewed as bosonic operators. $\square$

For a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda^k(T_x^*\mathcal{M})$, ($0 \leq k \leq 2n$), the following formulae can be derived recursively:

$$[\hat{e}^k, \hat{f}] = k \hat{e}^{k-1}(\hat{h} + k - 1), \quad [\hat{e}, \hat{f}^k] = k \hat{f}^{k-1}(\hat{h} - k + 1), \quad (35)$$

where k is an arbitrary positive integer. Then there is the lemma:

Lemma 2. *Let α be a 2-form. If $\hat{e}^k \alpha = 0$ for some $k < n - 1$, then $\alpha = 0$.*

Proof. Applying both sides of the first eqn in (35) on α , we have

$$\hat{e}^k \hat{f} \alpha = k(k - n + 1) \hat{e}^{k-1} \alpha. \quad (36)$$

Since $\hat{f} \alpha$ is a number at each point, the left hand side is $(\hat{f} \alpha) \omega^k$. Applying $\hat{e}$ on both sides, we get

$$(\hat{f} \alpha) \omega^{k+1} = k(k - n + 1) \hat{e}^k \alpha = 0.$$

Since $k + 1 < n$, we have $\hat{f} \alpha = 0$. Now formula (36) becomes

$$k(k - n + 1) \hat{e}^{k-1} \alpha = 0.$$

Since $k < n - 1$, we get $\hat{e}^{k-1} \alpha = 0$. Therefore, the value of k can be reduced by 1, and further it can be eventually reduced to 0. $\square$

The above lemma implies that the map sending $\alpha \in \Lambda^2(T_x^*\mathcal{M})$ to $\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-2} \in \Lambda^{2n-2}(T_x^*\mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 3. *Let $x \in \mathcal{M}$ be an arbitrary point and $\mathbf{X} \in T_x \mathcal{M}$. Then, for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{X} = 0$.*

Proof. We need only to prove that $i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k) = 0$ implies $\mathbf{X} = 0$. We assume that there is a nonzero vector $\mathbf{X} \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k) = 0$ for some k .

Immediately we have $i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^n) = 0$. Since $\mathbf{X}$ is nonzero, a basis $\{\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{2n}\}$ can always be obtained where $\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{X}$. Consequently, it follows that $\omega^n(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{2n}) = (i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^n))(\mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{2n}) = 0$. However, this contradicts with the fact that ω is non-degenerate. Therefore $\mathbf{X}$ has to be zero. $\square$

3.3 The Spaces $\mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ and $H_{\text{EL}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$

In the subsection 3.1, we have indicated that $\mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega) = \mathcal{X}_S^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ for each possible k . The following theorem tells us much more.

Theorem 3. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold with $n \geq 2$. Then, for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$,*

$$\mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) = \mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega). \quad (37)$$

Proof. We need only to prove that $\mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$.

In fact, for any $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k) = k(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}\omega) \wedge \omega^{k-1} = 0.$$

Since $0 \leq k - 1 \leq n - 2$ while $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}\omega$ is a 2-form, we can use Lemma 2 pointwisely. This yields $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}\omega = 0$. Thus, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. This proves $\mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_S(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ when $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. $\square$

As was implied by Lemma 3, the map $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M})$, $\mathbf{X} \longmapsto i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^n)$ is a linear isomorphism. From $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^n) = \text{di}_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^n)$, it follows that $\mathcal{X}_S^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is isomorphic to $Z^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M})$, the space of closed $(2n-1)$ -forms. Lemma 3 also implies that $\mathcal{X}_H^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is isomorphic to $B^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M})$, the space of exact $(2n-1)$ -forms. These can be summarized as in the following theorem:

Theorem 4. *The linear map $\nu_n : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M})$, $\mathbf{X} \longmapsto i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^n)$ is an isomorphism. Under this isomorphism, $\mathcal{X}_S^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ and $\mathcal{X}_H^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ are isomorphic to $Z^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M})$ and $B^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M})$, respectively.*

Corollary 4.1. *The $(2n-1)$ st Euler-Lagrange cohomology group $H_{\text{EL}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is linearly isomorphic to $H_{\text{dR}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M})$, the $(2n-1)$ st de Rham cohomology group.*

When $\mathcal{M}$ is closed, $H_{\text{EL}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is linearly isomorphic to the dual space of $H_{\text{EL}}^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$, because $H_{\text{dR}}^k(\mathcal{M}) \cong (H_{\text{dR}}^{2n-k}(\mathcal{M}))^*$ for such kind of manifolds. If $\mathcal{M}$ is not compact, this relation cannot be assured.

3.4 The Other Euler-Lagrange Cohomology Groups

Although the first and the last Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups can be identified with the corresponding de Rham cohomology groups, respectively, it is still valuable to know whether the other Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups are nontrivial and different from corresponding de Rham cohomology groups in general.

In this subsection we will enumerate some examples and properties to seeing about this problem. We shall point out that, for the torus T^{2n} with the standard symplectic structure ω and $n \geq 3$, $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(T^{2n}, \omega)$ is not isomorphic to $H_{\text{dR}}^{2k-1}(T^{2n})$ whenever $1 < k < n$ (see, Corollary 5.1). In addition, we shall prove that there is a 6-dimensional symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ for which the Euler-Lagrange cohomology group $H_{\text{EL}}^3(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is not isomorphic to $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M})$ (see, Theorem 6). Therefore, these indicate that the Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups other than the first and the last ones are some new features of certain given symplectic manifolds.

Let L_α be the homomorphism defined by the cup product with a cohomology class $[\alpha]$, where α is a representative. From the definition, there is an injective homomorphism of vector spaces

$$\pi_{2k-1} : H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M})$$

for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_{\text{EL}}^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{EL}}^3(\mathcal{M}, \omega) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{EL}}^{2n-3}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \pi_3 \downarrow & & \cdots \downarrow & & \pi_{2n-3} \downarrow \\ H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{L_\omega} & H_{\text{dR}}^3(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{L_\omega} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{L_\omega} & H_{\text{dR}}^{2n-3}(\mathcal{M}). \end{array} \quad (38)$$

In fact, for an equivalence class $[\mathbf{X}]_{2k-1} \in H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) with $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ an arbitrary representative, $-\frac{1}{k} i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k)$ is a closed $(2k-1)$ -form. Thus the cohomology class of this form can be defined to be $\pi_{2k-1}([\mathbf{X}]_{2k-1})$ and it is easy to verify that this definition is well defined. As for the horizontal maps in the first row of the above diagram, they are induced by the identity map on $\mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) = \mathcal{X}_S^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ where $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. For example, if $[\mathbf{X}]_{2k-1}$ is an equivalence class in $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, n > 1$) where $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}_S^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is an arbitrary representative, then $[\mathbf{X}]_{2k-1}$ is mapped to be an

equivalence class $[\mathbf{X}]_{2k+1}$ in $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k+1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. It is also easy to check that this is a well defined homomorphism.

Since π_1 is an isomorphism and the horizontal homomorphisms in the first row are all onto, it follows that

Theorem 5. *For $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, π_{2k-1} is onto if and only if $L_\omega^{k-1} = L_{\omega^{k-1}}$ from $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M})$ to $H_{\text{dR}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M})$ is onto, and $L_{\omega^{k-1}} : H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M})$ is injective if and only if the homomorphism from $H_{\text{EL}}^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ to $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is injective.*

Corollary 5.1. *For $n \geq 3$, let $\mathcal{M}$ be the torus T^{2n} with the standard symplectic structure ω . Then, for $1 < k < n$, $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \neq H_{\text{dR}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M})$.*

Proof. As is well known, the de Rham cohomology groups of T^{2n} satisfy

$$\dim H_{\text{dR}}^k(T^{2n}) = \binom{2n}{k}$$

for each $0 \leq k \leq 2n$. Therefore, we have $\dim H_{\text{dR}}^{2k-1}(T^{2n}) > 2n$ for each $1 < k < n$. On the other hand, due to the fact that the maps in the first row of the diagram (38) are surjective, we have $\dim H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(T^{2n}, \omega) \leq 2n$ for each $1 < k < n$. So, $\dim H_{\text{dR}}^{2k-1}(T^{2n}) > \dim H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(T^{2n}, \omega)$. $\square$

In what follows, we will show further that there are some symplectic manifolds for which $H_{\text{EL}}^k \neq H_{\text{EL}}^1$.

Recall that on an n -dimensional Lie group G there exists a basis that consists of n left-invariant vector fields $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$. They form the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G . Let $[\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_j] = -c_{ij}^k \mathbf{X}_k$ with the structural constants c_{ij}^k of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\{\theta^k\}$ be the left-invariant dual basis, i.e.

$$d\theta^k = \frac{1}{2} c_{ij}^k \theta^i \wedge \theta^j, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (39)$$

G is called a *nilpotent* Lie group if $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent. A *nilmanifold* is defined to be a closed manifold M of the form G/Γ where G is a simply connected nilpotent group and Γ is a discrete subgroup of G . It is well known that Γ determines G and is determined by G uniquely up to isomorphism (provided that Γ exists) [7, 8].

There are three important facts for the compact nilmanifolds [9]:

1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra with structural constants c_{ij}^k with respect to some basis, and let $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n\}$ be the dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Then in the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex $(\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}^*, d)$ we have

$$d\theta^k = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k} c_{ij}^k \theta^i \wedge \theta^j, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (40)$$

2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group G . Then, by Malcev's theorem [7], G admits a lattice if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a basis such that all the structural constants are rational.
3. By Nomizu's theorem, the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex $(\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}^*, d)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the de Rham complex of G/Γ . In particular,

$$H^*(G/\Gamma) \cong H^*(\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}^*, d) \quad (41)$$

and any cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^k(G/\Gamma)$ contains a homogeneous representative α . Here we call the form α homogeneous if the pullback of α to G is left-invariant.

These results allow us to compute cohomology invariants of nilmanifolds in terms of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and this simplifies the calculations.

Theorem 6. *There exists a 6-dimensional symplectic nilmanifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ such that*

$$H_{\text{EL}}^3(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \neq H_{\text{EL}}^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega).$$

Proof. To define the manifold $\mathcal{M}$, it suffices to give the Lie algebra. $\mathfrak{g}$ is a 6-dimensional Lie algebra generated by the generators $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_6$ with Lie bracket given by

$$[\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_j] = - \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k} c_{ij}^k \mathbf{X}_k.$$

This Lie algebra gives a unique nilmanifold $\mathcal{M}$ by the above information on nilmanifolds. The Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $(\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}^*, d)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ which calculates the de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{M}$ is as follows.

Let $A = \Lambda^*(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^6)$ with the 1-forms θ^i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$. Their differentials are given by the following formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^4 &= \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2, & d\theta^5 &= \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 - \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3, \\ d\theta^6 &= \theta^1 \wedge \theta^5 + \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the symplectic form ω on $\mathcal{M}$ is induced by $F = \theta^1 \wedge \theta^6 + \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 + \theta^3 \wedge \theta^5$ in A . ω is a symplectic form since $F \wedge F \wedge F$ is nontrivial by an easy calculation.

It is not difficult to show

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(M) = \mathbb{R}^3 = \text{span}\{[\theta^1], [\theta^2], [\theta^3]\}$$

and

$$H_{\text{dR}}^2(M) = \mathbb{R}^4 = \text{span}\{[\theta^1 \wedge \theta^3], [\theta^1 \wedge \theta^4], [\theta^2 \wedge \theta^4], [F]\}$$

To prove the theorem, it follows from Theorem 5 that it is sufficient to prove that $\omega \wedge \theta^1$ is cohomologically trivial. This follows by the following equation that can be checked easily and thus completes the proof:

$$F \wedge \theta^1 = \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 + \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 \wedge \theta^5 = d(\theta^2 \wedge \theta^5 + \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6).$$

□

3.5 The Euler-Lagrange Cohomology and The Harmonic Cohomology

On a given symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$, there also exists the harmonic cohomology in addition to the de Rham cohomology. In this subsection we explore the relation between the Euler-Lagrange cohomology and the harmonic cohomology on $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ and show that they are different from each other in general.

Given a smooth symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$, let $\Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$ be the space of all k -forms on $\mathcal{M}^{2n}$. The $*$ -operator

$$* : \Omega^k(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-k}(\mathcal{M})$$

can be introduced [10] in analog with the $*$ -operator on a Riemannian manifold. Define

$$\delta : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M), \quad \delta(\alpha) = (-1)^{k+1} * d(*\alpha).$$

It turns out to be that $\delta = [i(\Pi), d]$ (see [10, 11]), where $i(\Pi)$ is, in fact, the operator $\hat{f}$ introduced before.

Remark 1: The operator $\delta = -*d*$ was also considered by Libermann (see [12]). Koszul [13] introduced the operator $\delta = [d, i(\Pi)]$ for Poisson manifolds. Brylinski [11] proved that these operators coincide.

Definition: A form α on a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is called *symplectically harmonic* if $d\alpha = 0 = \delta\alpha$.

We denote by $\Omega_{\text{hr}}^k(M)$ the linear space of symplectically harmonic k -forms. Unlike the Hodge theory, there are non-zero exact symplectically harmonic forms. Now, following Brylinski [11], we define symplectically harmonic cohomology $H_{\text{hr}}^*(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ by setting

$$H_{\text{hr}}^k(\mathcal{M}, \omega) = \Omega_{\text{hr}}^k(\mathcal{M}) / (\text{im}(d) \cap \Omega_{\text{hr}}^k(\mathcal{M})).$$

Therefore, $H_{\text{hr}}^k(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \subset H_{\text{dR}}^k(\mathcal{M})$.

We would like to know if the symplectically harmonic cohomology and the Euler-Lagrange cohomology are isomorphic to each other. The following result answers this question.

Theorem 7. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the $2n$ dimensional torus T^{2n} with standard symplectic structure. Then $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ and $H_{\text{hr}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ are not the same for $1 < k < n$.*

This is because in this case the symplectically harmonic cohomology are the same as the de Rham cohomology and now the result follows from Corollary 5.1.

4 The Relative Euler-Lagrange Cohomology

Let us now propose a definition of relative Euler-Lagrange cohomology that is the combination of the above definition of Euler-Lagrange cohomology and the usual definition of relative de Rham cohomology.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $2n$ -symplectic manifold and $i : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be an embedded submanifold. Recall that the usual relative de Rham forms are defined by

$$\Omega^k(i) = \Omega^k(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(\mathcal{N})$$

where $\Omega^{k-1}(\mathcal{N})$ is the group of $(k-1)$ -forms on $\mathcal{N}$. The differential is given by

$$d(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (d\theta_1, i^*\theta_1 - d\theta_2). \quad (42)$$

Definition: Define

$$\Omega_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(i) = \Omega_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \Omega^{2k-2}(\mathcal{N})$$

where $\Omega_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}) = \{i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^k) \mid \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})\}$. The *relative Euler-Lagrange cohomology* will be defined as

$$H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(i) = \frac{\{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \Omega_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(i) \mid d(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 0\}}{\{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \mid (\theta_1, \theta_2) = d(\theta'_1, \theta'_2)\}}.$$

Let us consider an example for the relative Euler-Lagrange cohomology. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $\mathcal{N} = T^n$ and $i : T^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be the inclusion.

Proposition 1. $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(i) = H_{\text{dR}}^{2k-2}(T^n)$.

Proof. There is the obvious linear map $\Omega_{\text{EL}}^{2k-2}(T^n) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(i)$ given by $\theta \longmapsto (0, \theta)$. When $\theta = d\alpha \in \Omega_{\text{EL}}^{2k-2}(T^{2n})$ is exact, $(0, \theta) = d(0, -\alpha) \in \Omega_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(i)$ is also exact. Therefore a linear map $f : H_{\text{dR}}^{2k-2}(T^{2n}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(i)$, $[\theta] \longmapsto [(0, \theta)]$ can be induced.

This map f is an injection. In fact, if $(0, \theta) = d(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, then $d\alpha_1 = 0$, $\theta = i^*(\alpha_1) - d\alpha_2$. Thus θ is exact by the fact that any closed form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is exact.

The map f is also an epimorphism: For any closed (θ_1, θ_2) , it is in the same cohomology class of the element $(0, \theta_2 - i^*(\alpha_1) + d\alpha_2) = (\theta_1, \theta_2) - d(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, where $\theta_1 = d\alpha_1$ and α_2 is any form on T^n . Obviously, $\theta_2 - i^*(\alpha_1) + d\alpha_2$ is closed and $f([\theta_2 - i^*(\alpha_1) + d\alpha_2]) = [(\theta_1, \theta_2)]$. $\square$

Remark 2: Although it is not verified yet, the following statement, if true, will not be a surprise : There exists a symplectic manifold $\mathcal{M}$ and its submanifold $i : \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ for which the (relative) Euler-Lagrange cohomology is not the corresponding (relative) de Rham cohomology.

Remark 3: For the definition of $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(i)$, it is also possible to require that (θ'_1, θ'_2) belong to $\Omega^{2k-1}(i) = \Omega^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \Omega^{2k-2}(\mathcal{N})$ rather than $\Omega_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(i)$. The remaining explanations are similar in principle.

5 The General Volume-Preserving Hamiltonian-like Equations

In this section, we present the general form for the equations of the volume-preserving systems from the cohomological point of view on a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ as the phase space of a kind of mechanical systems.

As was mentioned before, the canonical equations of a Hamiltonian system belong to the image of the first Euler-Lagrange cohomology group since the null Euler-Lagrange 1-form, which leads to the canonical equations, belongs to the image. In this section, we consider the highest Euler-Lagrange cohomology group and to show its image may lead to the general volume-preserving equations on symplectic manifolds and the ordinary canonical equations are their special cases[14, 15]. Thus, this generalizes the Hamiltonian systems.

5.1 The Derivation of the Equations

Theorem 4 indicates that there exists the 1-1 and onto map ν_n between $\mathcal{X}_{\text{H}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ and $B^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M})$. In addition, there also exists the following operator:

$$\star : \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2n-2}(\mathcal{M}), \quad \alpha \longmapsto \alpha \wedge \omega^{n-2}.$$

Since it is an isomorphism, there is a unique linear map $\phi : \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{H}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\star} & \Omega^{2n-2}(\mathcal{M}) \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\ \mathcal{X}_{\text{H}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega) & \xrightarrow{\nu_n} & B^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}) \end{array} \quad (43)$$

commutative. Explicitly, for an arbitrary $\alpha \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M})$, the corresponding vector field in $\mathcal{X}_{\text{H}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is

$$\phi\alpha = \nu_n^{-1}d\star\alpha. \quad (44)$$

Now suppose that

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} A_{ij} dq^i \wedge dq^j + A_j^i dp_i \wedge dq^j + \frac{1}{2} A^{ij} dp_i \wedge dp_j. \quad (45)$$

Since $d \star \alpha = (d\alpha) \wedge \omega^{n-2}$, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d \star \alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial q^k} dq^i \wedge dq^j \wedge dq^k \wedge \omega^{n-2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A^{ij}}{\partial p_k} dp_i \wedge dp_j \wedge dp_k \wedge \omega^{n-2} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A_{jk}}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial A_j^i}{\partial q^k} \right) dp_i \wedge dq^j \wedge dq^k \wedge \omega^{n-2} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A^{ij}}{\partial q^k} - \frac{\partial A_k^i}{\partial p_j} \right) dp_i \wedge dp_j \wedge dq^k \wedge \omega^{n-2} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A_{jk}}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial A_j^i}{\partial q^k} \right) dp_i \wedge dq^j \wedge dq^k \wedge \omega^{n-2} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A^{ij}}{\partial q^k} - \frac{\partial A_k^i}{\partial p_j} \right) dp_i \wedge dp_j \wedge dq^k \wedge \omega^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that, for arbitrary $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$dp_i \wedge dq^k \wedge dp_j \wedge dq^l \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \frac{\delta_{ij}^{kl}}{n(n-1)} \omega^n, \quad (46)$$

where $\delta_{ij}^{kl} := \delta_i^k \delta_j^l - \delta_i^l \delta_j^k$. Using $\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}$ to contract both sides of the above equation and summing l and j over 1 to n , we can obtain, respectively

$$dp_i \wedge dp_j \wedge dq^k \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \frac{\delta_j^k}{n-1} dp_i \wedge \omega^{n-1} - \frac{\delta_i^k}{n-1} dp_j \wedge \omega^{n-1}, \quad (47)$$

$$dp_i \wedge dq^j \wedge dq^k \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \frac{\delta_i^j}{n-1} dq^k \wedge \omega^{n-1} - \frac{\delta_i^k}{n-1} dq^j \wedge \omega^{n-1}. \quad (48)$$

By virtue of these two equations, we can write $d \star \alpha$ as

$$\begin{aligned} d \star \alpha &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\frac{\partial A_j^j}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial A_i^j}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial p_j} \right) dq^i \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\frac{\partial A^{ij}}{\partial q^j} + \frac{\partial A_j^j}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial A_j^i}{\partial p_j} \right) dp_i \wedge \omega^{n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n(n-1)} i_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^n) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \nu_n(\mathbf{X}), \quad (50)$$

where

$$\mathbf{X} = \left(\frac{\partial A^{ij}}{\partial q^j} + \frac{\partial A_j^j}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial A_j^i}{\partial p_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \left(\frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial A_j^j}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial A_i^j}{\partial q^j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \quad (51)$$

is a volume-preserving vector field belonging to $\mathcal{X}_{\text{H}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. Its relation with $\phi\alpha$ in eq. (44) is

$$\phi\alpha = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \mathbf{X}.$$

The integral curves of the above volume-preserving vector field $\mathbf{X}$ can be obtained by solving the following equations with the proper initial conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{q}^i &= \frac{\partial A^{ij}}{\partial q^j} + \frac{\partial A_j^j}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial A_j^i}{\partial p_j}, \\ \dot{p}_i &= \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial A_j^j}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial A_i^j}{\partial q^j}.\end{aligned}\tag{52}$$

Note that the above equations are nothing but the general volume-preserving equations on the symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$.

5.2 On The Canonical Hamiltonian Equations, The Trace of 2-Forms and The Poisson Bracket

It should be noted that for the case of mechanical system with

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{n-1} H \omega,\tag{53}$$

where H is a function on M , the general volume-preserving equations (52) become the well known canonical equations and the system becomes a Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonian function H . Therefore, the equations (52) are the generalization of the canonical equations in the Hamilton mechanics.

Due to eq. (50) and Theorem 4, the corresponding vector field $\mathbf{X}$ belongs to $\mathcal{X}_H^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ for each 2-form α . On the other hand, given a vector field $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}_H^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$, there is always an exact $(2n-1)$ -form, $d\beta$, say, such that $\nu_n(\mathbf{X}) = d\beta$. Since $\star$ is a linear isomorphism, there exists a 2-form $\alpha = \star\beta$ satisfying eq. (50). Therefore, when α runs over the whole space $\Omega^2(\mathcal{M})$, the corresponding $\mathbf{X}$ runs over the whole space $\mathcal{X}_H^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. That is, every $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}_H^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ can be written in the form of eq. (51).

For the same vector field $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}_H^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$, the 2-form α can be chosen at least up to a closed 2-form. This can be viewed as a symmetry of eqs. (52). If we define a function $\text{tr } \alpha$ as

$$\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \frac{\text{tr } \alpha}{n} \omega^n\tag{54}$$

for each 2-form α , then, using the formula

$$dp_i \wedge dq^j \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \frac{\delta_i^j}{n} \omega^n,\tag{55}$$

we obtain that

$$\text{tr } \alpha = A_i^i.\tag{56}$$

The above expression is obviously independent of the choice of the Darboux coordinates. Let $\mathbf{X}_{\text{tr } \alpha}$ be the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to the function $\text{tr } \alpha$. Eq. (50) indicates that

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{\text{tr } \alpha} + \mathbf{X}'\tag{57}$$

where $\mathbf{X}'$ is the extra part on the right hand side of eq. (50). $\mathbf{X}'$ corresponds to the traceless part of α ,

$$\alpha - \frac{\text{tr } \alpha}{n} \omega.\tag{58}$$

Hence, for a 2-form $\alpha = \frac{H}{n-1} \omega$ with H a function on $\mathcal{M}$, $\text{tr } \alpha = \frac{n}{n-1} H$ and the traceless part of α vanishes.

If $f(q, p)$ is a function on $\mathcal{M}$, then the derivative $\dot{f} = \frac{d}{dt} f(q(t), p(t))$ satisfies the equation

$$\dot{f} \omega^n = n(n-1) d\alpha \wedge df \wedge \omega^{n-2}. \quad (59)$$

In fact, $\dot{f} = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}} f)(q, p)$. And,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}} f) \omega^n = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}} (f \omega^n) = d(f i_{\mathbf{X}} \omega^n).$$

Then, according to eq. (50),

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}} f) \omega^n &= -n(n-1) d(f d \star (\alpha)) = -n(n-1) df \wedge d(\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-2}) \\ &= -n(n-1) df \wedge d\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-2} = n(n-1) d\alpha \wedge df \wedge \omega^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus eq. (59) has been proved.

Especially, as was just mentioned, for the case of α taking value in (53), the system (52) turns out to be the usual Hamiltonian system. Therefore, f as an observable satisfies the canonical equation in terms of the Poisson bracket:

$$\dot{f} = \{f, H\} := \mathbf{X}_H f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}.$$

On the other hand, for such a Hamiltonian system, we can use eq. (59) to obtain

$$\dot{f} \omega^n = n d(H \omega) \wedge df \wedge \omega^{n-2} = n dH \wedge df \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \text{tr} (dH \wedge df) \omega^n.$$

Namely,

$$\dot{f} = \text{tr} (dH \wedge df). \quad (60)$$

Thus, we obtain the relation between the Poisson bracket and the trace of 2-forms:

$$\{f, H\} = -\text{tr} (df \wedge dH). \quad (61)$$

5.3 One Possible Application

The importance of volume-preserving systems can be seen from the following fact:

Theorem 8. *If a system S on $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is not volume-preserving, it can be extended to be a volume-preserving system S' on $(\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \omega')$ such that the orbits of S are precisely the projection of the orbits of S' onto $\mathcal{M}$.*

As a demonstration, let q^i and p_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be the Darboux coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$ and q^0, p_0 be the Cartesian coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then select $\omega' = dp_\mu \wedge dq^\mu = \omega + dp_0 \wedge dq^0$ as the symplectic structure on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, where the μ is summed over 0 to n . It should be mentioned that strictly speaking, ω in this expression should be $\pi^* \omega$ in which $\pi : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the projection. But, as a demonstration, we do not try to give a rigorous description. Suppose that, on $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^n) = D \omega^n$ where

$$\mathbf{X} = Q^i(q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + P_i(q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

is the vector field of system S , and $D = D(q^i, p_i)$ is a function. Then a system S' on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ corresponding to

$$\mathbf{X}' = Q^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + P_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - D(q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n) q^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial q^0}$$

can be constructed. It can be easily checked that S' is a volume-preserving system. And the orbits of S are just the projections of the orbits of S' . If all the properties of system S' are known, so are the properties of S .

In the previous works [14, 15], more concrete applications have been illustrated and the relations have also been studied between our general form for the volume-preserving systems on symplectic manifolds and other volume-preserving systems such as the Nambu mechanics [16] and Feng-Shang's volume-preserving algorithm [17]. We will not repeat these topics here.

6 Discussions and Conclusions

In this paper, we have introduced the definition of the Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, on symplectic manifolds $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ and studied their relations with other cohomologies as well as some of their properties. It is shown that for $k = 1, n$, $H_{\text{EL}}^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ and $H_{\text{EL}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ are isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{M})$ and $H_{\text{dR}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M})$, respectively. On the other hand, $H_{\text{EL}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$, $1 < k < n$, is neither isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR}}^{2k-1}(\mathcal{M})$ nor to the harmonic cohomology on $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$, and they are also different from each other in general. To our knowledge, these Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups on $(\mathcal{M}^{2n}, \omega)$ have not yet been introduced systematically before. It is significant to know whether there are some more important roles played by these cohomology groups to the symplectic manifolds.

It is also shown that the ordinary canonical equations in Hamilton mechanics correspond to 1-forms that represent trivial element in the first Euler-Lagrange cohomology $H_{\text{EL}}^1(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ on the phase space. Analog to this property, the general volume-preserving equations on phase space are presented from cohomological point of view with respect to forms which represent trivial element in the highest Euler-Lagrange cohomology group $H_{\text{EL}}^{2n-1}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. And the ordinary canonical equations in Hamilton mechanics become their special cases. What about the image parts of other Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups? Whether they also lead to some dynamical equations? These problems are still under investigation.

It is well known that there are a series of conservation laws in classical mechanics closely related to the so-called phase flow of the canonical equations of the Hamiltonian systems. The Liouville's theorem [1], which claims that the volume of a domain in the phase space is conserved if all points in the domain move along the phase flow, is just such a representative. From the Euler-Lagrange cohomological point of view, however, these conservation laws should be generalized to the symplectic flow rather than the phase flow. Further, they may be related directly to the volume-preserving flow. It is well known that Liouville's theorem plays very important roles in both the classical mechanics and statistical physics. It is reasonable to expect that the generalized versions of Liouville's theorem should also play some important roles.

We have also introduced the conception of relative Euler-Lagrange cohomology. It is of course interesting to see its applications in Mechanics and Physics.

The first Euler-Lagrange cohomology group has been introduced in order to further introduce its time-discrete version in the study on the discrete mechanics including the

symplectic algorithm [3, 4]. Although the first Euler-Lagrange cohomology is isomorphic to the first de Rham cohomology, its time discrete version is still intriguing and plays an important role in the symplectic algorithm. In addition, it has also been introduced in the field theory and their discrete versions of independent variables. The latter is closely related to the multi-symplectic algorithm [3, 4]. It is of course significant to introduce the higher Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups in these fields and to explore their applications.

Since the general volume-preserving equations have been introduced on symplectic manifold from the cohomological point of view, it is meaningful to investigate their time-discrete version and study its relation with the volume-preserving algorithm.

It is well known that symplectic manifolds are closely related to the complex manifolds. Therefore, it is natural to see what role should be played by the complex counterparts of the Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups on the complex manifolds.

All these topics are under investigation. We will leave some results on them for further publications.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Professors Z.J. Shang and S.K. Wang and Siye Wu for valuable discussions. Especially, S.K. Wang and Siye Wu have partly joined us and made contribution to this work. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 90103004, 10171096, 19701032, 10071087) and the National Key Project for Basic Research of China (G1998030601).

References

- [1] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (English translation), Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1978.
- [2] R. Abraham and J.E. Marsden, *Foundation of Mechanics*, (Second Ed.) Addison-Wesley, 1978.
- [3] Han-Ying Guo, Yu-Qi Li and Ke Wu, On Symplectic and Multisymplectic Structures and Their Discrete Version in Lagrangian Formalism, *Comm. Theor. Phys.* (Beijing) **35** (2001) 703-710.
- [4] Han-Ying Guo, Yu-Qi Li, Ke Wu and Shi-Kun Wang, Difference Discrete Variational Principle, Euler-Lagrange Cohomology and Symplectic, Multisymplectic structures I: Difference Discrete Variational Principle, *Comm. Theor. Phys.* (Beijing), **37** (2002) 1-10; II: Euler-Lagrange Cohomology, *ibid*, 129-138; III: Application to Symplectic and Multisymplectic Algorithms, *ibid*, 257-264. See, also, the eprint on arXiv: hep-th/0106001.
- [5] Han-Ying Guo, Jianzhong Pan, Ke Wu and Bin Zhou, "The Euler-Lagrange Cohomology on Symplectic Manifolds". Invited talk given by HYG at The ICM Satellite Conference "Geometric Function Theory in Several Complex Variables", USTC, Hefei, August30-Sep. 2, 2002. The proceedings will be published by SPC. Singapore.

- [6] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, Clar. Press. Oxford, 1998.
- [7] A.I. Malcev: On a class of homogeneous spaces, *Izv AN SSSR Ser. Matem.* **3** (1949), 9-32.
- [8] M. Raghunathan: *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [9] A. Tralle, J. Oprea: *Symplectic Manifolds with no Kähler Structure*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1661**, Springer, Berlin 1997.
- [10] D. Yan, Hodge structure on symplectic manifolds, *Adv. in Math.* **120** (1996), 143-154.
- [11] J.-L. Brylinski: A differential complex for Poisson manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* **28** (1988), 93-114.
- [12] P. Libermann, C. Marle: *Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1987.
- [13] J.-L. Koszul: Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie, The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984). *Astisque* 1985, Numero Hors Serie, 257–271.
- [14] Bin Zhou, Han-Ying Guo and Ke Wu, General volume-preserving mechanical systems, the eprint on arXiv: math-ph/0208033.
- [15] Bin Zhou, Han-Ying Guo, Jiangzhong Pan and Ke Wu, The Euler-Lagrange cohomology groups and general volume-preserving mechanical systems, the eprint on arXiv: math-ph/030075.
- [16] Y. Nambu, *Phys. Rev.* **D3**, 2405-2412 (1973).
- [17] K. Feng and J. Shang, “Volume-preserving algorithms for source-free dynamical systems”, *Numer. Math.*, Vol. **71**, 451-463 (1995).